



By: Frédéric COMBES *Director, Flight Safety*

1 | Introduction

An A320 encountered a dual engine rollback, after the aircraft levelled off at Flight Level 380, as a result of fuel starvation.

The aircraft was dispatched with the center tank empty. Both wing tank fuel pushbutton switches had been left in the OFF position from engine start. Interesting lessons may be learned from this event.

2 | Investigation

An official investigation was conducted with the concerned Investigations Board, the airline, engine supplier and Airbus.

Five different hypothesis have been examined:

- Adverse weather conditions
- Fuel contamination
- Aircraft fuel system anomaly
- Engine misbehaviour
- Fuel pumps left in the OFF position.

The following data have been investigated:

- Engines Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) Non Volatile Memories
- Digital Flight data Recorder (DFDR) engine main parameters
- Post Flight Report (PFR).

Analysis of all the available data allowed to rule out the first four hypothesis. Indeed:

- There was no indication that inclement weather condition could have contributed to the event
- The fuel sampling analysis confirmed that the fuel was not contaminated
- The data recorded within the engine computer allowed to rule out any control system/engine issue
- The aircraft's recorded data and troubleshooting performed on the aircraft, did not highlight any evidence of failure in the following systems:
 - Fuel
 - Electrical generation and distribution
 - Autoflight.

The only remaining scenario was therefore that all fuel pumps had remained switched-off from the engine start until the time of the dual engine rollback.

The available data allowed to confirm the following:

1) During the engine start sequence all fuel pumps were left in the OFF position, leading to the triggering of the following ECAM Cautions:

FUEL L TK PUMP 1+2 LO PR FUEL R TK PUMP 1+2 LO PR

The crew cleared both Cautions but left the fuel pumps in the OFF position.

As a consequence, both engines were being gravity fed during the engine start, take-off and climb phases of the flight.

- 2) The behaviour of the engines was normal until the aircraft reached FL380, some 23 minutes after take-off. At that point, both engines rolled back, leading to:
 - The Mach Number to decrease from M0.78 to M0.63
 - The disconnection of the Electrical Generators 1&2 (Engines 1&2 N2 being below 53%)
 - The Ram Air Turbine deployment (Emergency Electrical Configuration).
- 3) The aircraft started to descend and the crew declared an Emergency.

At FL 320, the engines were recovered leading to an automatic re-connection of both Electrical Generators. The crew then decided to turn back to the departure airport where an uneventful landing was performed.

This scenario was confirmed through a flight test done on an Airbus A320 production aircraft.

3 Analysis of the event

Operations Engineering Bulletin 178 "OPERATION OF CENTER TANK PUMPS"

The OEB was applicable to the aircraft concerned by this event. During the refuelling, all fuel pumps were set to OFF, in accordance with OEB 178.

This OEB was issued to avoid having the center tank pump in operation if not fully immersed. It calls for:

- All fuel pumps to be in the OFF position before and during the refuelling
- The wing tank pumps to be set ON after the refuelling
- The center tank pumps to be left OFF, if the total fuel on board is less or equal than 12 000 kg.

Note: 1. OEB 178 is applicable to some A318/A319/A320 aircraft with a center tank.

2. OEB 180 "OPERATION OF FUEL PUMPS", applicable to some A321 aircraft, was also issued to avoid having wing fuel pumps running if not fully immersed during fuel loading operation: It notably calls for all wing fuel pumps to be turned OFF before refuelling.





A318 A319 A320 A321	OEB PRO C N° 178/1	FEB 08	6.00
PAGE 3 OF 6			
OPERATION OF CENTER TANK PUMPS (cont'd)			
PROCEDURE A (CONT'D)			
CENTER TANK PUMPS OPERATION			
WARNING Do not turn on the center tank pumps, if the center tank contains less than 2 000 kg (4 500 lb) of fuel, even if it is requested by another procedure.			
Before and during refueling:			
ALL TK PUMPS			
IF THE TOTAL FOB AFTER REFUELING IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 12 000 KG (26 500 LB) After refueling:			
- CTR TK			
L and R TK PUMP 1 and 2ON CTR TK PUMP 1 and 2MAINTAIN OFF FUEL MODE SELMAN			

Note : The QRH OEB PROC N° 178 gives a quick access to the OEB procedure, which is also covered in AFM TR -4.03.00/28 issue 2.

Limitations of fuel gravity feed

The altitude limitations linked to gravity feed are due to the application of Henry's law. The law states that the concentration of a solute gas in a liquid is directly proportional to the partial pressure of that gas.

Therefore, as the airplane climbs and as the atmospheric pressure decreases, so does the amount of air dissolved in the aircraft's fuel.

The excess air vents into the fuel tanks and engine fuel lines.

At some point, the quantity of vapour present in the fuel, when it reaches the engine, may exceed the engine inlet maximum allowable vapour / liquid ratio and the operation of the engines is compromised. In the example illustrated in this article, the airplane was able to reach FL380 before the engines eventually rolled back.

However, the precise altitude at which engines will experience fuel starvation depends on a number of factors, including saturation level of air in the fuel, temperature and rate of climb. Considering the worst case operating conditions, the A320 FCOM 3.02.28/QRH 2.09 paper procedure has retained three different scenarios:

- The airplane is on gravity feed shortly after takeoff, in which case the gravity fuel feed ceiling is limited to FL150 or 7 000 feet above the airport
- The airplane switches to gravity feed with a Flight time above FL300 being less than 30 minutes, in which case the ceiling is limited to FL300
- The airplane switches to gravity feed with a Flight time above FL300 exceeding 30 minutes, in which case the ceiling is the current FL.

4 | Standard Operating | Procedures

ECAM task sharing rules

This event serves to highlight the importance of adhering to the ECAM operational philosophy. The first pilot, who notices an ECAM Caution or Warning, announces the title of the failure. The Pilot Flying (PF) then orders "ECAM Action", and the Pilot Non Flying (PNF) confirms the action.

This process ensures that both crew members are aware of the failure, and that they share a common understanding of the actions to be undertaken. The PNF then performs the action and requests permission to clear the failure.

The PF will first check to ensure that the action has been completed, then announce, "Clear ECAM".

Fuel pumps left in OFF position

The following three barriers were available to alert the crew of the abnormal configuration they were flying in:

• First barrier:

At the beginning of the Cockpit Preparation checklist for the Overhead Panel, the SOPs request the crew to extinguish all white lights (pushbutton switches) on the overhead panel, as applicable during the scan sequence. This ensures that both the center tank and wing tank pushbutton switches are selected to the ON position prior to flight, except for the center tank if OEB 178 applies.

With the center tank and wing tank fuel pumps I in the OFF position, this is how the overhead panel would look like; notice the six illuminated white pushbutton lights:



Second barrier:

30 seconds after the first engine start (flight phase 2), if the wing tank fuel pumps are still

in the OFF position, the dedicated ECAM Caution will trigger, and the FUEL page will be displayed:



• Third barrier: Clearance of an ECAM Caution leads to the display of the STATUS page:



5 | Enhancements

Flight warning Computer (FWC)

Airbus is working on future enhancements in the next FWC standard H2F5 (certification planned by mid 2009). Indeed, the TAKE OFF CONFIG will be improved to monitor as well the fuel pumps, hydraulic pressure, IDG disconnection, and electrical generators.

For example, if the fuel pumps are left in the OFF position, this new FWC standard will recall the following ECAM Caution :

FUEL L TK PUMP 1+2 LO PR FUEL R TK PUMP 1+2 LO PR

Information concerning OEB 178 and OEB 180:

Operators for which OEB 178 and/or OEB 180 apply, may cancel them by the accomplishment of the mandated Service Bulletin 28-1159-00 (this SB involves only 2 hours of elapsed time).

6 | Conclusion

Existing barriers (white lights on the overhead panel, ECAM Caution, audio warning, status page display on the System Display) were available to prevent the crew overlooking the fuel pumps in the OFF position.

Further barriers will be included with the proposed enhancements:

- Addition of some system monitoring within FWC standard H2F5
- Cancellation of OEB 178 and OEB 180 with the implementation of the modification referenced in SB 28-1159-00.

Additionally, the following more general lessons may be learned from this event:

- ECAM task sharing rules should be followed before clearing Cautions or Warnings
- Design features are not meant, and never will, to replace effective briefings.



Safety First

The Airbus Safety Magazine For the enhancement of safe flight through increased knowledge and communications.

Safety First is published by the Flight Safety Department of Airbus. It is a source of specialist safety information for the restricted use of flight and ground crew members who fly and maintain Airbus aircraft. It is also distributed to other selected organisations.

Material for publication is obtained from multiple sources and includes selected information from the Airbus Flight Safety Confidential Reporting System, incident and accident investigation reports, system tests and flight tests. Material is also obtained from sources within the airline industry, studies and reports from government agencies and other aviation sources.

All articles in Safety First are presented for information only and are not intended to replace ICAO guidelines, standards or recommended practices, operatormandated requirements or technical orders. The contents do not supersede any requirements mandated by the State of Registry of the Operator's aircraft or supersede or amend any Airbus type-specific AFM, AMM, FCOM, MEL documentation or any other approved documentation.

Articles may be reprinted without permission, except where copyright source is indicated, but with acknowledgement to Airbus. Where Airbus is not the author, the contents of the article do not necessarily reflect the views of Airbus, neither do they indicate Company policy.

Contributions, comment and feedback are welcome. For technical reasons the editors may be required to make editorial changes to manuscripts, however every effort will be made to preserve the intended meaning of the original. Enquiries related to this publication should be addressed to:

Airbus

Product Safety department (GS) 1, rond point Maurice Bellonte 31707 Blagnac Cedex - France Fax: +33(0)5 61 93 44 29 safetycommunication@airbus.com



Safety First # 07 February 2009

Safety First is published by Airbus S.A.S 1, rond point Maurice Bellonte 31707 Blagnac Cedex / France

Editor: Yannick Malinge, Vice President Flight Safety

Concept Design by MULTI MEDIA SUPPORT 20090053

Computer Graphic by Quat'coul

Copyright: GSE 420 0046/09

Photos copyright Airbus Photos by ExM: Hervé Berenger, Philippe Masclet, Hervé Goussé. Photo copyright Germanwings

Computer rendering by ABAC

Printed in France by AMADIO

© Airbus S.A.S. 2009 – All rights reserved. Confidential and proprietary documents.

By taking delivery of this Brochure (hereafter "Brochure"), you accept on behalf of your company to comply with the following guidelines:

- > No other intellectual property rights are granted by the delivery of this Brochure than the right to read it, for the sole purpose of information.
- > This Brochure and its content shall not be modified and its illustrations and photos shall not be reproduced without prior written consent of Airbus.
- > This Brochure and the materials it contains shall not, in whole or in part, be sold, rented, or licensed to any third party subject to payment.

This Brochure contains sensitive information that is correct at the time of going to press. This information involves a number of factors that could change over time, effecting the true public representation. Airbus assumes no obligation to update any information contained in this document or with respect to the information described herein.

Airbus SAS shall assume no liability for any damage in connection with the use of this Brochure and of the materials it contains, even if Airbus SAS has been advised of the likelihood of such damages.